

**Developmental toxicity of the dithiocarbamate pesticide
sodium metam in zebrafish**

Melissa A. Haendel, Linus Pauling Institute and the Marine/Freshwater Biomedical Sciences Center, 571 Weniger Hall, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 97331,
Melissa.haendel@oregonstate.edu

Fred Tilton, Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, the Environmental Health Sciences Center, 1007 Ag and Life Science Bldg, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 97331,
fred.tilton@oregonstate.edu

George S. Bailey, Linus Pauling Institute, Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, the Environmental Health Sciences Center and the Marine/Freshwater Biomedical Sciences Center, 435 Weniger Hall, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 97331,
george.bailey@orst.edu

Robert L. Tanguay*, Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, the Environmental Health Sciences Center and the Marine/Freshwater Biomedical Sciences Center, 1007 Ag and Life Science Bldg, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 97331,
Robert.tanguay@oregonstate.edu

*corresponding author

Express delivery address for corresponding author: Marine/Freshwater Biomedical Sciences Center, 435 Weniger Hall, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 97331. Phone 541-737-6514, Fax 541-737-7966.

Abstract

Sodium metam (NaM), a dithiocarbamate, is a general agricultural biocide applied prior to planting for the elimination of nematodes, soil pathogens and weeds. There is a remarkable paucity of information about the mechanism of action and the risk that dithiocarbamates may pose to developing vertebrates. We have characterized NaM toxicity during early life stage exposure in zebrafish. Zebrafish embryos are most sensitive to NaM exposure during gastrulation and early segmentation (4-14 hours post fertilization, hpf). For mortality, the dose response curve is steep with an LC₅₀ estimate of 1.95 μ M (248 ppb) at 48hpf. The most notable malformation among surviving embryos was a severely twisted notochord, which became evident by 24hpf. Surprisingly, this notochord defect was not immediately lethal and the animals continued to grow despite delays in hatching, apparent paralysis, and an inability to feed. We have characterized the notochord malformation using histological and *in situ* hybridization techniques. *collagen 2a1* mRNA expression is normally localized to the notochord sheath cells at 24hpf, whereas in NaM-exposed embryos it is misexpressed in the notochord cells. Histological staining and *myoD* expression indicate that the myotomes of the NaM-exposed embryos are less defined, compacted and block-shaped compared to controls. The degradation product of NaM, methyl isothiocyanate (MITC), causes similar malformations at similar concentrations as NaM, suggesting that MITC or another common product may be the active toxicant. Our results indicate that developing zebrafish are sensitive to NaM and MITC and we believe that this model is ideal to elucidate the molecular mechanism(s) and etiology of NaM toxicity in vertebrates.

Keywords: sodium metam, methyl isothiocyanate, zebrafish, developmental toxicity, notochord

Introduction

Dithiocarbamates and their disulfides have many uses in agriculture, manufacturing, and in medicine (Eneanya *et al.* 1981; Haley 1979). There is concern that acute levels of dithiocarbamate exposure could occur in an occupational setting, and low chronic exposures could potentially occur through the consumption of contaminated foods. In medicine, dithiocarbamates are used for alcohol aversion therapy and to treat patients for nickel intoxication (Brewer 1993; Jones and Jones 1984). Sodium metam (NaM) is a pesticide used prior to planting to eliminate nematodes, soil pathogens and weeds. The U.S. EPA reported NaM as the third largest quantity of agricultural pesticide used in the United States in 1998-9 (EPA 2002c). The complete phase-out of an alternate biocide, methyl bromide, from use in developed countries by January 1st, 2005 (EPA 2003) will likely result in substantial increases NaM application. Nationally, the EPA estimates that approximately 59 million pounds of NaM were used in 1997 (EPA 2002c). This remarkably high value is due partially to the recommended application rate of NaM ranging from 60 to the more typical 320 pounds per acre (CEPA 2002). This far exceeds the amounts used for most other pesticide applications (Tomlin 1997). The levels of MITC in agricultural run-off are unknown as no methods exist for measuring MITC at these low levels. Under normal and appropriate applications it would be expected that the majority of NaM would be converted to MITC and dissipate into the air as intended. Potential greater risk would involve subsurface movement of NaM and MITC where soil and environmental conditions exist for movement. Significant run-off could occur if large rain events occur following NaM application. Several studies have measured NaM and MITC in aqueous solutions (e.g. groundwater), however there are significant technical challenges limiting environmentally relevant detection of these compounds (Yu *et al.* 2003). NaM is perhaps most well known from a 1991 train derailment in which 19,000 thousand gallons of NaM were released into the Sacramento River. Within days nearly every living organism was killed within the 45 miles downstream of the spill site. It was reported that the average MITC concentrations 17 days after the spill to be approximately 260 ppb and on the day of the spill 5 miles downstream there was a concentration of 97 ppm (Alexeeff *et al.* 1994). Models suggested that the concentration of NaM and MITC within the first 48 hours ranged from between

100 to 6,000 ppm (Kreutzer *et al.* 1994). Currently, drinking water standards for NaM or its degradation products have not been established by the U.S. EPA. NaM was not part of the analyte list of USGS National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) program and therefore was not monitored as a part of their national reconnaissance in the 1990's. Therefore, the potential risk for human exposure to NaM from drinking water sources is undefined and may be significant in areas near agricultural communities.

One of the most remarkable facts regarding NaM is that the mechanism(s) of its widespread biocidal activities is unknown. NaM degrades into multiple breakdown products following application, including methylisothiocyanate (MITC), carbon disulfide (CS₂), and methylamine (Fig. 1) (Tomlin 1997). In soils, the decomposition occurs rapidly with a half-life of as little as 30 minutes, but this has been shown to vary widely dependent on temperature, concentration, pH, and water content of the soil (Frick *et al.* 1998; Gerstl *et al.* 1977; Joris *et al.* 1970; Saeed *et al.* 1996; Turner and Corden 1963). In aqueous solutions exposed to light, NaM degrades into MITC with a half-life of less than 10 minutes (Draper and Wakeham 1993). Since MITC is thought to be the active biocide, it is important to consider the rate of degradation of NaM in a given system (Pruett *et al.* 2001, BCPC 1997). However, it has been challenging to develop analytical methods of detection for NaM and MITC at ppb concentrations, which has made it difficult to ascertain the biological or ecological consequences of NaM (Yu *et al.* 2003).

Animal studies suggest that NaM or MITC are potential carcinogens, immunological toxicants, and developmental toxicants (reviewed in (Pruett *et al.* 2001). The immunological consequence of NaM exposure in adults has been studied most thoroughly (Keil *et al.* 1996; Padgett *et al.* 1992). However, there have been few peer-reviewed citations investigating the developmental effects of dithiocarbamates as a class, and none looking at the developmental

toxicity of NaM specifically. Studies submitted for pesticide registration provide some insight as to the developmental effects of NaM. In a rat study, NaM was delivered by gavage (50 to 60 mg/kg) during gestational days 7-16 and the pups were analyzed at gestational day 22. The authors report an increase in malformations including hydrocephaly, anophthalmia, and skeletal developmental delays (Tinston 1993). In rabbits receiving NaM by gavage (up to 60 mg/kg/day) on gestation days 8-20, a number of adverse effects were reported. At doses exceeding 20 mg/kg/day there were skeletal variations and at the 60 mg/kg/day dose there was an increase in cleft palate and meningocele (Hodge 1993). However, these studies are of limited value in assessing the toxicity of NaM on the earliest stages of vertebrate development such as gastrulation and cell fate determination. Zebrafish are an excellent animal model to assess risk from water-soluble compounds to free-living vertebrates such as fish and amphibians, as well as in pre-implantation mammals.

Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) share many cellular, anatomical and physiological characteristics with other vertebrates. For developmental toxicology studies, zebrafish are especially useful in that they rapidly develop externally, their transparency allows observation during development, and hundreds of animals are easily obtained from the large clutch sizes. We have utilized zebrafish in order to characterize the toxicity and effects of NaM on the earliest stages of development. Our data suggest that both NaM and MITC are teratogenic to zebrafish embryos and cause notochord and muscle malformations when exposed during gastrulation and early somitogenesis. We have described the effects on the notochord and muscle using histological and molecular techniques. Because zebrafish are vertebrates, it will be critical to determine if other organisms, including mammalian vertebrates, are sensitive to NaM exposure prior to organogenesis. By utilizing the molecular and genetic tools available in the zebrafish, we will be

able to investigate the mechanism of toxicity for NaM and possibly other dithiocarbamates, as well as whether NaM poses a significant health risk.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish maintenance and collection of embryos

Adult AB strain zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were raised and kept at standard laboratory conditions of 28°C on a 14hr light/10hr dark photoperiod (Westerfield 2000). Fish water consisted of reverse osmosis water supplemented with a commercially available salt solution (0.6% Instant Ocean®). Embryos were collected from group spawns and staged as previously described (Kimmel *et al.* 1995). Embryos were photographed live using a Nikon SMZ1500 microscope and a Nikon Coolpix 5000 digital camera.

Stock solutions and exposure protocols

Sodium metam (NaM; CAS # 137-42-8) and methyl isothiocyanate (MITC; CAS # 556-61-6) were both purchased from Chem Service, Inc. (West Chester, PA). A sodium metam stock solution of 0.29 M in water at pH 9 was stored at 4°C until further dilution. Stock solutions of MITC were prepared at 40 mM in fish water and stored in aliquots at -20°C. Embryos were waterborne exposed in their chorions to varying concentrations of NaM or MITC in 20 mL glass vials sealed with Teflon®-lined lids (VWR International, West Chester, PA) to prevent loss by volatilization. Twenty embryos per vial were used as one replicate. At the end of the exposure period, the embryos were washed two times in excess fish water and allowed to grow until sampled.

In situ hybridization

Embryos were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at specific hours or days post-fertilization (hpf or dpf). *In situ* hybridization was performed as described with minor modifications (Westerfield 2000). Briefly, embryos were stored in 100% methanol at -20° until ready for use. The embryos were rehydrated in PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) and treated with proteinase K at 2 µg/ml in PBST for varying lengths of time depending on the stage. The embryos were prehybridized in 50% formamide, 5X SSC and 0.1% Tween (cheap hyb) for one hour, and hybridized overnight at 70°C with digoxigenin-labeled antisense probe in cheap hyb + 500 µg/mL yeast RNA and 50 µg/mL heparin at pH 6.0. The embryos were first washed at 70°C in 2X SSC, 0.2X SSC, and 0.1X SSC, and then at 25°C in PBST. Digoxigenin was detected with an anti-DIG-AP Fab fragments antibody (Roche, Indianapolis) in a blocking solution containing 1% DMSO, 2% sheep serum and 2mg/mL bovine serum albumin in PBST. Finally, the embryos were developed with 20 µl NBT/BCIP per mL (Roche) in color buffer containing 100mM Tris-Cl, pH 9.5, 50mM MgCl₂, 100mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween-20. The *collagen IIa* and *myoD* antisense RNA probes have been described (Weinberg *et al.* 1996; Yan *et al.* 1995).

Histology

Embryos were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, 3% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4 overnight. The embryos were embedded in 1% low temperature agarose, processed in epoxy resin on a Lynx EL automatic tissue processor, and sectioned a 1 µm. The sections were stained with paragon (basic fuschin- toluidine blue in sodium borate) stain for 30 seconds (Bourne and St John 1978).

Statistics

Data is illustrated as the mean with standard error of the mean (SEM). Sigmoidal regression analysis and LC₅₀ estimation was completed using SigmaPlot 2001 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). For lowest observed adverse effect levels (LOAELs), ANOVA statistical analysis was performed using a p-value of 0.05 for significance. This was tabulated with SigmaStat Version 2.03 for Windows software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

Sodium metam is developmentally toxic to zebrafish

To determine if zebrafish are sensitive to NaM during gastrulation, somitogenesis and organogenesis, embryos were waterborne exposed to a range of NaM concentrations from 4 hpf until 24 hpf (20 hour exposure), transferred to chemical free water and allowed to develop. The most notable malformation, observed in 100% of the exposed animals at 0.8 μM , was related to the notochord (Fig. 2). The notochord was severely twisted compared to stage matched controls, but the animals otherwise developed normally (albeit delayed, see below).

We also evaluated the concentrations at which NaM is lethal to zebrafish embryos (Fig. 3A). The mortality observed at higher NaM concentrations generally occurred during the first 24 hpf, before notochord defects were observable. The mortality curve is plotted for embryos at 48 hpf following the 4 to 24 hpf exposure; the 48 hpf LC₅₀ is calculated to be 1.95 μM (248 ppb). At lower NaM concentrations, there is a clear relationship between notochord defects and chemical concentration (Fig 3B). In the 0.05 μM exposure group, the animals were indistinguishable from controls, whereas in the 0.2 μM group, 25% of the animals displayed notochord malformations. In addition to the notochord response, NaM exposure also resulted in a

concentration dependent reduction in hatching (Fig. 3B). At 120 hpf, 98% of the control animals hatched from their chorions, compared to 5% at 0.8 μM . The failure to hatch may be related to behavioral deficits; specifically, the animals appear to have delayed and weakened spontaneous motion (data not shown). The lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) for both notochord defects and decreased hatching rate was 0.2 μM (26 ppb; $p < 0.05$). It is important to note that there were very few observable defects besides the notochord malformation, suggesting that NaM may specifically target this structure.

Sodium metam alters notochord differentiation

We have utilized *collagen 2a1* mRNA to label the notochord in whole animals to aid in the determination of developmental progress (Yan *et al.* 1995). In 18 hpf control and 0.8 μM NaM exposed embryos, *collagen 2a1* is expressed in both the notochord and sheath cells surrounding the notochord (Fig. 4A, B). However, by 24 hpf, the expression becomes limited to the sheath cells in control embryos; whereas in NaM-exposed embryos, *collagen 2a1* mRNA continues to be expressed in the notochord cells (Fig. C,D,E,F). This misexpression continues in the posterior notochord as late as 36 hpf. We have also examined the expression of the myogenic bHLH transcription factor, *myoD*, in control and NaM exposed embryos. *myoD* labels myogenic precursor cells in the paraxial mesoderm (Weinberg *et al.* 1996). At 24hpf, the anterior-posterior extent of expression is comparable; however, the myotomes are less defined, irregularly arranged, and more block-shaped in NaM exposed animals compared to stage-matched controls (lines, Fig. 4G,H). Careful staging of control and NaM exposed embryos and analysis of gene expression patterns has allowed us to follow developmental progression. Control embryos staged at 12 hpf had between 3 and 5 somites while embryos exposed to 0.8 μM NaM had between 1

and 3 somites, approximately a one hour developmental delay. When the embryos were staged at 18 hpf, the controls had 17-18 somites while embryos exposed to 0.8 μ M NaM had between 14-18 somites, suggesting that some embryos were delayed by as much as 2 hrs while others were more comparable to controls. Interestingly, at 18 hpf, notochord defects were not yet observable by light microscopy. At 24 hpf, control embryos were still approximately 2 hrs ahead of NaM exposed embryos. Thus, developmental staging indicates that the embryos may be delayed by as much as two hours at 24 hpf but that they do not appear to become more delayed.

Notochord sensitivity to sodium metam is stage specific

In order to ascertain which stage of notochord development is most sensitive to NaM, we further restricted the developmental window during which we exposed the embryos. The embryos were waterborne exposed from 4 to 14 hpf and from 14 to 24 hpf of development and compared to embryos exposed for the full duration (4-24 hpf). This experiment was performed at multiple concentrations to account for possible decreased response to NaM (Table 1). At all concentrations analyzed, 85-95 % of the embryos exposed from 4-14 hpf exhibited wavy notochords compared to 74 % of the embryos exposed from 4-24 hpf. In contrast, 0% of the embryos exposed to multiple concentrations of NaM from 14-24 hpf had wavy notochords. While these embryos did not have wavy notochords, 50-95 % exhibited posterior malformations wherein notochord cells were seen to extend beyond the normal boundaries in small region(s) of the posterior trunk (Fig. 5). When the developmental windows were further restricted (Table 1, experiment 2) no observable notochord defects were detected. Thus, the notochord is affected by NaM during its initial specification and differentiation; however, shorter exposures of 4 to 5 hrs are not sufficient to induce notochord malformations at this concentration.

Zebrafish embryos respond similarly to MITC

Because NaM degrades into MITC, we sought to determine if MITC could cause similar effects on notochord development. Zebrafish embryos waterborne exposed to MITC from 4 to 24 hpf also developed wavy notochords (Fig. 6). From comparative dose-response studies, it became evident that the MITC concentration at which notochord defects were observed was nearly identical to that of NaM. When mortality is monitored and plotted for embryos at 48 hpf following 4 to 24 hpf exposure to MITC (Fig. 7A), the estimated the LC₅₀ is 1.87 μ M (137 ppb). Notochord defects and hatching rates are also correlated with chemical concentration with a notochord defect EC₅₀ of 0.35 μ M and a reduced hatching EC₅₀ of 0.22 μ M (Fig. 7B). The LOAEL for both notochord malformation and decreased hatching rate was 0.4 μ M (29 ppb; $p < 0.05$). Following exposure to either NaM or MITC, the embryos similarly develop a wavy notochord that becomes increasingly debilitating as they mature (Fig. 8). In addition to the aforementioned defects, the embryos also appear to exhibit decreasing mobility and touch responsiveness, as well as a diminished ability to feed (data not shown). However, NaM and MITC exposure did not lead to common signs of toxicity that have been reported in zebrafish exposed to a number of xenobiotics, such as pericardial and yolk sac edema.

Characterization of the notochord defect

To further investigate the malformations observed following exposure to NaM or MITC, we analyzed epoxy sections with paragon staining at different time points following exposure from 4 to 24 hpf (Fig. 9). Analysis at 24, 48 and 72 hrs reveals abnormal characteristics that are indistinguishable between NaM and MITC exposed embryos (data not shown for NaM exposed

embryos). It is obvious from these sections that the notochord of MITC exposed embryos contain regions where the notochord cells are irregularly packed and are not homogeneous in size. There are also noticeable “globules” present along the inside of the plasma membrane of the notochord cells in exposed embryos (Fig 9D, arrow). The notochord cell membranes are “rumpled” compared to the smooth appearance of control cells (compare Fig. 9C to D). The notochord sheath is also thicker and darker staining in MITC exposed embryos (arrowheads, Fig. 9E and F). Another obvious feature that can be visualized in these sections is the muscle of the somites. In 72 hpf control embryos, the somites form distinct chevron-shaped muscles with the muscle cells extending from one somite boundary to the next. In MITC exposed embryos, the muscle appears compacted (compare distance between asterisks in Fig. 9G and H) and has a wavy structure, the somites are block-shaped, and somite boundaries are not always evident. As described above, these alterations could be responsible for the observed behavioral changes.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to ascertain whether NaM was developmentally toxic to zebrafish. Our initial experiments have shown that NaM causes notochord malformations which become apparent by 24 hpf in zebrafish embryos when exposed during gastrulation and early segmentation periods. NaM is toxic to zebrafish embryos at low ppb levels, as evidenced by the steep mortality curve. At non-lethal concentrations, the hatching rate and notochord malformation are affected by NaM in a dose-dependent manner. We have determined that embryonic development may also be delayed following exposure to NaM using both morphological and molecular criteria. We have also shown that a degradation product of NaM, MITC, causes similar effects at similar concentrations, suggesting that MITC or other common

breakdown products or metabolites may be the active toxicants following NaM exposure. Alternatively, NaM, MITC, or other products may have similar mechanisms of action. Importantly, under our experimental conditions the active water constituent appears to be stable. For instance, NaM and MITC solutions previously exposed to different temperature and light conditions resulted in similar rates of notochord deformities and mortalities in our standard assay (data not shown).

Embryos that survive the initial exposure to NaM or MITC continue to develop despite notochord malformations. At 5 dpf, the embryos from both exposure groups appear indistinguishable, and are similarly unable to feed, abnormally respond to touch and exhibit decreased motor activity (data not shown). These results indicate that NaM and MITC are potent teratogens during early zebrafish development. This study is especially timely given that NaM is one of the proposed replacements for the agricultural biocide, MeBr, after the 2005 phase-out (EPA 2002a, b, 2003). Since no studies have yet been published examining the effects of NaM or MITC on similar stages of mammalian development, it is imperative not only that these studies be undertaken but also that the mechanism of action of these compounds be further elucidated.

Despite the fact that there are few studies regarding NaM toxicity, a number of different hypotheses have been proposed to explain its mechanism of action. We hypothesize that NaM or MITC may be targeting a specific reactive site, protein, or biological pathway. Because the effective concentration is very low, this suggests that the cellular targets are limited in number. The dose response curves for NaM and MITC are both very steep, which also indicates that there are molecular sites of action that become saturated. MITC has the capacity to form protein adducts, which may contribute to its toxicity (Valentine *et al.* 1995). MITC and NaM are both metabolized via glutathione conjugation (Lam *et al.* 1993). It has been proposed that the MITC-

glutathione conjugate may serve as a source of MITC elsewhere in the body at a later time point (Slatter *et al.* 1991). Zebrafish exhibit functional glutathione-S-transferase activity throughout all of development (Wiegand *et al.* 2000). Alternatively, S-methylation of NaM or MITC to S-methyl metam could potentially inhibit aldehyde dehydrogenase, thereby causing toxicity (Staub *et al.* 1995). MITC has been shown to be cytotoxic and cause DNA strand breaks in cultured human hepatoma cells (Kassie *et al.* 2001). These investigators suggest that the DNA damage may be due to the production of reactive oxygen species, which could damage other macromolecules in addition to DNA. Because dithiocarbamates are known to chelate metals, it is possible that they may act via sequestering bioactive metals away from proteins. However, since MITC is not a dithiocarbamate and is not known as a metal chelator, it is unlikely that its mechanism of toxicity is mediated by chelation (Tomlin 1997). Therefore, it is clear from the diversity of targets and hypotheses that the mechanism of action of NaM or MITC remains unknown.

The notochord is an axial structure common to the Chordata phylum. In lower chordates and in larval stages of lower vertebrates it plays an important role as a structural element required for locomotion and coordinated movement. More importantly, the notochord is required for proper differentiation of adjoining tissues such as the neurectoderm, muscle, and vertebral elements in all vertebrates. This is accomplished in part by release of signaling molecules such as *sonic hedgehog* (*shh*) from the notochord. In zebrafish, Shh induces the formation of slow muscle fibers in the medial somite, which then migrate laterally and complete their differentiation (Blagden *et al.* 1997). A Shh gradient is also thought to regulate the dorsal-ventral identity of neurons in the neural tube, such that neurons closest to the notochord form motoneurons, whilst neurons further away become interneurons or sensory neurons (for recent

review see (Jacob and Briscoe 2003). Therefore, the notochord is the primary axial structure upon which many other tissues depend for their proper formation and differentiation. Toxicants that disrupt normal morphogenesis and differentiation of the notochord may therefore result in permanent skeletal deformities, muscle abnormalities, and neurological dysfunction.

A search of the literature has revealed a few examples of severe notochord malformations following early life stage exposure to various toxicants, including dithiocarbamates. Zebrafish exposed to cadmium exhibit notochord and skeletal deformities (Hen Chow and Cheng 2003). A study in rainbow trout embryos revealed that a number of dithiocarbamates are teratogenic, with the notochord being particularly sensitive; however, the teratogenic effects of NaM were not specifically examined (Van Leeuwen *et al.* 1986). In this study, the notochord increased considerably in both length and diameter and therefore became twisted and distorted. The authors also observed ectopic osteogenesis. Among the animals that were raised to adulthood, compression and fusion of vertebrae and various twisted skeletal elements were observed. *Xenopus laevis* exposed to nabam (a dithiocarbamate) developed malformed notochords which contained larger and more numerous cells at concentrations greater or equal to 0.40 µg/L (Birch and Prahlad 1986b). Interestingly, embryos exposed to MITC and ethylene thiourea concurrently developed notochord malformations similar to nabam, while neither of these compounds alone resulted in malformations at any of the concentrations tested. Interactions between these and additional degradation products may be responsible for these results (Birch and Prahlad 1986b). North African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) exposed to 2.5 and 5 mg/liter of the organophosphate malathion also develop remarkably similar notochord malformations (Lien *et al.* 1997). Lien *et al.* suggest that the notochord may become malformed by overactive muscle spasms. Teraoka *et al.* have recently proposed a similar explanation for notochord waviness following a 24 hr

exposure to the dithiocarbamate thiuram (Teraoka *et al.* 2004). In this study, the notochord malformations could be prevented by inhibition of spontaneous muscle contractions by co-exposure to the anesthetic MS-222.

The data from the present study suggests that the notochord is sensitive to NaM at a period in its differentiation prior to spontaneous muscle contractions. The end of the developmental window during which exposure to NaM or MITC causes notochord malformations (4-14 hpf) is three hours prior to the onset of spontaneous movement (17 hpf). It is conceivable that the notochord could be compromised during early development and the consequence of this earlier exposure becomes evident once muscle activity initiates. Alternatively, degradation products deposited in the tissue early could exert their effects later in development. Since exposure later in development does not result in notochord defects, the active toxicant must either be present earlier or create a degradation product that exerts its effects later. However, we believe that the notochord is affected by NaM and MITC because MITC is the major degradation product, is relatively stable, and both cause similar effects during the same window of development. In contrast to the malathion study noted above (Lien *et al.* 1997), we have observed that zebrafish exhibit decreased touch responsiveness and movement following exposure to NaM or MITC. This indicates that either NaM and MITC exert similar effects by a different mechanism, or that notochord malformations result from alterations of other developmental processes besides muscle activity. Perhaps NaM and MITC affect notochord vacuolization and/or proliferation, which would distort the overall rigidity and axial length of the notochord, indirectly resulting in abnormal muscle structure. This concept is supported by studies examining ultrastructural changes in the notochord following dithiocarbamate exposure in *Xenopus* (Birch and Prahlad 1986a; Prahlad *et al.* 1974). Embryos exposed to nabam or a

combination of ethylenethiourea and MITC both exhibit disruption of collagen fibers in the notochord sheath and surrounding tissue. Nabam exposed embryos also showed an absence of plasmalemmal vesicles normally found adjacent to the intercellular space of notochord cells (Prahlad *et al.* 1974). Studies examining the effects of another dithiocarbamate, thiram, on development have shown that it is teratogenic to mice when administered between days 5 and 17. These embryos exhibited an increase in resorption as well as a syndrome of skeletal malformations including cleft palate, wavy ribs, curved long bones and micrognathia (Fishbein 1976; Matthiaschk 1973; Roll 1971). These results suggest a conserved response and warrant more detailed examination of the effects of dithiocarbamates in general and NaM in particular on early mammalian development.

We believe that the zebrafish is a valuable system in which to elucidate the mechanism of action of these compounds specifically because of its molecular accessibility. For example, a zebrafish mutant, *leviathan*, has been identified in which a very similar notochord malformation has been noted (Stemple *et al.* 1996). Given the large number of notochord mutants that have been found, it is interesting that only one has a phenotype similar to NaM exposed embryos (Stemple *et al.* 1996). The determination of the mutation responsible for this phenotype may allow the molecular dissection of a regulatory cascade whose dysfunction leads to specific notochord malformations. Advances in micro array technology will also be useful in the molecular characterization of NaM or MITC effects during specific periods of development. This study has provided an initial morphological and molecular characterization of the effects of NaM and MITC which will allow more mechanistic questions regarding the effects of these compounds on tissue morphogenesis and gene expression to be addressed.

Figure legends

Figure 1. The potential decomposition products of NaM are depicted. Decomposition is dependent on concentration, pH, temperature and oxygen content.

Figure 2. Notochord defect following exposure to sodium metam. Embryos were exposed from 4 hpf to 24 hpf to 0.8 μM NaM. A. Lateral view of control embryos. B. Lateral view of exposed embryo. n, notochord; y, yolk; scale bar, 150 μm .

Figure 3. Mortality, notochord malformation, and hatching rate exhibit a graded response following sodium metam exposure. Embryos were exposed from 4 hpf to 24 hpf to varying concentrations of NaM. A. 48 hpf mortality curve. The LC_{50} is estimated to be 1.95 μM . B. 5 dpf effects of exposure on notochord malformation (black circles) and hatching rate (open circles). The EC_{50} for notochord defects is 0.30 μM and the EC_{50} for reduced hatching is 0.28 μM . Three replicates of twenty embryos each were observed for each data point.

Figure 4. *In situ* hybridization comparison of control and embryos exposed to 0.8 μM NaM from 4 to 24 hpf. A-E, *Collagen 2a1* antisense mRNA; F,G, *myoD* antisense mRNA. A, 18 hpf control; B, 18 hpf NaM exposed; C,G 24 hpf control; D,H 24 hpf NaM exposed; E, 36 hpf control; F, 36 hpf NaM exposed. Fifteen or more embryos were hybridized for each probe and developmental stage. n, notochord; arrows, notochord sheath; lines, somite boundaries.

Figure 5. Posterior malformation resulting from exposure during 14-24 hpf. A, lateral view; B, dorsal view. Arrows, local expansion of the notochord.

Figure 6. Notochord defect following exposure to MITC. Embryos were exposed from 4 hpf to 24 hpf to 0.8 μM MITC. A, Lateral view of control embryos; B, Lateral view of exposed embryo. n, notochord; y, yolk; scale bar, 150 μm .

Figure 7. Mortality, notochord malformation, and hatching rate exhibit a graded response following MITC exposure. Embryos were exposed from 4 hpf to 24 hpf to varying concentrations of MITC. A. 48hpf mortality curve. The LC_{50} is estimated to be 1.87 μM . B. 5 dpf effects of exposure on notochord malformation (black circles) and hatching rate (open circles). The EC_{50} for notochord defects is 0.35 μM and the EC_{50} for reduced hatching is 0.22 μM . Three replicates of twenty embryos each were observed for each data point.

Figure 8. Development of embryos from 24 to 120 hpf following 0.8 μM NaM or 0.8 μM MITC exposure from 4 to 24 hpf. Photographs at 24 hpf are shown at a higher magnification.

Figure 9. Notochord histology. Sections of embryos exposed to 0.8 μM MITC from 4 hpf to 24 hpf. A, C, E, G, control embryos at 24, 48, and 72 hpf. B, D, F, H, exposed embryos at 24, 48, and 72 hpf. G,H, views showing the muscle of the somites. Two embryos were sectioned per dose at each time point. nt, neural tube; n, notochord; s, somite; *, Somite boundaries; arrows, globules; arrowheads, notochord sheath thickness; scale bar, 10 μm .

Table 1. Sodium metam exposure during smaller windows of development differentially affects notochord development. Wavy indicates that the notochord was wavy along its full length, while posterior indicates a malformation only in the posterior portion of the animal. Other malformations include notochord malformations that were not easily categorized as wavy or posterior, such as a single kink. Analysis was performed at 48 hpf. One replicate of twenty embryos was observed for each dose and time period combination.

NaM (μ M)	Exposure Window (hpf)	Normal	Notochord Malformation			Mortality
			Wavy	Posterior	Other	
Experiment 1						
0.0	4 to 24	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0.8	4 to 24	5	74	11	11	0
0.8	4 to 14	0	95	0	0	5
1.2	4 to 14	11	88	0	0	0
2.0	4 to 14	0	85	0	15	0
0.8	14 to 24	45	0	50	0	5
1.2	14 to 24	0	0	95	0	5
2.0	14 to 24	0	0	95	5	0
Experiment 2						
0.0	4 to 14	100	0	0	0	0
0.8	4 to 14	5	90	0	0	5
0.8	4 to 9	85	0	0	0	15
0.8	9 to 14	85	0	0	5	10
0.8	6 to 10	95	0	0	0	5

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Dr. Jeffrey Jenkins, Dr. Eric Andreasen, and Dr. Mike Simonich (OSU) for the critical review of this manuscript. Supported by NINDS #NS11170, NIEHS #ES00210, and #ES03850, and the Philip Morris External Research Fund.

References

- Alexeeff, G. V., Shusterman, D. J., Howd, R. A., and Jackson, R. J. (1994). Dose-response assessment of airborne methyl isothiocyanate (MITC) following a metam sodium spill. *Risk Anal* **14**, 191-8.
- Birch, W. X., and Prahlad, K. V. (1986a). Effects of minute doses of ethylenebisdithiocarbamate disodium salt (nabam) and its degradative products on connective tissue envelopes of the notochord in *Xenopus*: an ultrastructural study. *Cytobios* **48**, 175-184.
- Birch, W. X., and Prahlad, K. V. (1986b). Effects of nabam on developing *Xenopus laevis* embryos: minimum concentration, biological stability and degradative products. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* **15**, 637-645.
- Blagden, C. S., Currie, P. D., Ingham, P. W., and Hughes, S. M. (1997). Notochord induction of zebrafish slow muscle mediated by Sonic hedgehog. *Genes Dev* **11**, 2163-75.
- Bourne, C. A., and St John, D. J. (1978). Improved procedure for polychromatic staining of epoxy sections with basic fuchsin--toluidine blue. *Med Lab Sci* **35**, 399-400.
- Brewer, C. (1993). Long-term, high-dose disulfiram in the treatment of alcohol abuse. *Br J Psychiatry* **163**, 687-9.
- CEPA (2002). Evaluation of methyl isothiocyanate as a toxic air contaminant. *California department of pesticide regulation*.
- Draper, W. M., and Wakeham, D. E. (1993). Rate constants for metam-sodium cleavage and decomposition in water. *J Agric Food Chem* **41**, 1129-33.
- Eneanya, D. I., Bianchine, J. R., Duran, D. O., and Andresen, B. D. (1981). The actions of metabolic fate of disulfiram. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **21**, 575-96.
- EPA (2002a). Alternatives to post harvest uses of methyl bromide. <http://www.epa.gov/spdpublic/mbr/postharvest.html>.
- EPA (2002b). Alternatives to pre-plant uses of methyl bromide. <http://www.epa.gov/spdpublic/mbr/preplant.html>.
- EPA (2002c). Pesticide Industry Sales and Usage. http://www.epa.gov/oppbead1/pestsales/99pestsales/market_estimates1999.pdf (D. Donaldson, Kiely, T., and Grube, A., ed).
- EPA (2003). The phaseout of methyl bromide. <http://www.epa.gov/spdpublic/mbr/>.
- Fishbein, L. (1976). Environmental health aspects of fungicides. I. Dithiocarbamates. *J Toxicol Environ Health* **1**, 713-35.
- Frick, A., Zebarth, B. J., and Szeto, S. Y. (1998). Behavior of soil fumigant methyl isothiocyanate in repacked soil columns. *J environ qual* **27**, 1158-69.
- Gerstl, Z., Mingelgrin, U., and Yaron, B. (1977). Behavior of Vapam and methylisothiocyanate in soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **41**, 545-548.
- Haley, T. J. (1979). Disulfiram (tetraethylthioperoxydicarbonic diamide): a reappraisal of its toxicity and therapeutic application. *Drug Metab Rev* **9**, 319-35.
- Hen Chow, E. S., and Cheng, S. H. (2003). Cadmium affects muscle type development and axon growth in zebrafish embryonic somitogenesis. *Toxicol Sci* **73**, 149-59.
- Hodge, M. C. E. (1993). Metam sodium: Developmental Toxicity in the Rabbit. Zeneca Central Toxicology Laborato, Cheshire, UK.

- Jacob, J., and Briscoe, J. (2003). Gli proteins and the control of spinal-cord patterning. *EMBO Rep* **4**, 761-5.
- Jones, S. G., and Jones, M. M. (1984). Structure-activity relationships among dithiocarbamate antidotes for acute cadmium chloride intoxication. *Environ Health Perspect* **54**, 285-90.
- Joris, S. J., Aspila, K. I., and Chakrabarti, C. L. (1970). Decomposition of monoalkyl dithiocarbamates. *Analytical Chemistry* **42**, 647-651.
- Kassie, F., Laky, B., Nobis, E., Kundi, M., and Knasmuller, S. (2001). Genotoxic effects of methyl isothiocyanate. *Mutat Res* **490**, 1-9.
- Keil, D. E., Padgett, E. L., Barnes, D. B., and Pruett, S. B. (1996). Role of decomposition products in sodium methyl dithiocarbamate-induced immunotoxicity. *J Toxicol Environ Health* **47**, 479-92.
- Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B., and Schilling, T. F. (1995). Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* **203**, 253-310.
- Kreutzer, R. A., Hewitt, D. J., and Draper, W. M. (1994). An epidemiological assessment of the cantara metam sodium spill: Acute health effects of methyl isothiocyanate exposure. *Environ Epidemiol*, 209-230.
- Lam, W. W., Kim, J. H., Sparks, S. E., Quistad, G. B., and Casida, J. E. (1993). Metabolism in rats and mice of the soil fumigants metham and methyl isothiocyanate. *J Agric Food Chem* **41**, 1497-1502.
- Lien, N. T., Adriaens, D., and Janssen, C. R. (1997). Morphological abnormalities in African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) larvae exposed to malathion. *Chemosphere* **35**, 1475-86.
- Matthiaschek, G. (1973). On the influence of L-cysteine on thiram (TMTD)-induced teratogenesis in NMRI-mice. *Arch Toxicol* **30**, 251-262.
- Padgett, E. L., Barnes, D. B., and Pruett, S. B. (1992). Disparate effects of representative dithiocarbamates on selected immunological parameters in vivo and cell survival in vitro in female B6C3F1 mice. *J Toxicol Environ Health* **37**, 559-71.
- Prahlad, K. V., Bancroft, R., and Hanzely, L. (1974). Ultrastructural changes induced by the fungicide ethylenebis [dithiocarbamic acid] disodium salt (nabam) in *Xenopus laevis* tissues during development. *Cytobios* **9**, 121-130.
- Pruett, S. B., Myers, L. P., and Keil, D. E. (2001). Toxicology of metam sodium. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* **4**, 207-22.
- Roll, R. (1971). Teratologic studies with thiram (TMTD) on two strains of mice. *Arch Toxicol* **27**, 173-186.
- Saeed, I., Harkin, J. M., and Rouse, D. I. (1996). Leaching of methyl isothiocyanate in plainfield sand chemigated with metam sodium. *Pesticide Sci* **46**, 375-80.
- Slatter, J. G., Rashed, M. S., Pearson, P. G., Han, D. H., and Baillie, T. A. (1991). Biotransformation of methyl isocyanate in the rat. Evidence for glutathione conjugation as a major pathway of metabolism and implications for isocyanate-mediated toxicities. *Chem Res Toxicol* **4**, 157-61.
- Staub, R. E., Sparks, S. E., Quistad, G. B., and Casida, J. E. (1995). S-methylation as a bioactivation mechanism for mono- and dithiocarbamate pesticides as aldehyde dehydrogenase inhibitors. *Chem Res Toxicol* **8**, 1063-9.
- Stemple, D. L., Solnica-Krezel, L., Zwartkruis, F., Neuhauss, S. C., Schier, A. F., Malicki, J., Stainier, D. Y., Abdelilah, S., Rangini, Z., Mountcastle-Shah, E., and Driever, W. (1996). Mutations affecting development of the notochord in zebrafish. *Development* **123**, 117-28.

- Teraoka, H., Urakawa, S., Nanba, S., Dong, W., Imagawa, T., Handley, H., and Stegeman, J. J. (2004). Notochord distortion by thiruram and other dithiocarbamate in zebrafish embryo. In Society of Toxicology, 43rd Annual Meeting, Vol. Abstract #1568, Baltimore, MD.
- Tinston, D. J. (1993). Metam Sodium Developmental Toxicity Study in the Rat. Zeneca Central Toxicology Laboratory, Cheshire, UK.
- Tomlin, C. D. S., ed. (1997). *The pesticide manual*. British crop protection council, Farnham, Surrey, UK.
- Turner, N. J., and Corden, M. E. (1963). Decomposition of Sodium N-Methyldithiocarbamate in Soil. *Phytopathology* **53**, 1388-1394.
- Valentine, W. M., Amarnath, V., Amarnath, K., and Graham, D. G. (1995). Characterization of protein adducts produced by N-methyldithiocarbamate and N-methyldithiocarbamate esters. *Chem Res Toxicol* **8**, 254-61.
- Van Leeuwen, C. J., Helder, T., and Seinen, W. (1986). Aquatic toxicological aspects of dithiocarbamates and related compounds. IV. Teratogenicity and histopathology in rainbow trout (*Salmo Gairdneri*). *Aquatic Toxicology* **9**, 147-159.
- Weinberg, E. S., Allende, M. L., Kelly, C. S., Abdelhamid, A., Murakami, T., Andermann, P., Doerre, O. G., Grunwald, D. J., and Riggleman, B. (1996). Developmental regulation of zebrafish MyoD in wild-type, no tail and spadetail embryos. *Development* **122**, 271-80.
- Westerfield, M. (2000). *The Zebrafish Book*. University of Oregon Press, Eugene, OR.
- Wiegand, C., Pflugmacher, S., Oberemm, A., and Steinberg, C. (2000). Activity development of selected detoxication enzymes during the ontogenesis of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Internat Rev Hydrobiol* **85**, 413-422.
- Yan, Y. L., Hatta, K., Riggleman, B., and Postlethwait, J. H. (1995). Expression of a type II collagen gene in the zebrafish embryonic axis. *Dev Dyn* **203**, 363-76.
- Yu, K., Krol, J., Balogh, M., and Monks, I. (2003). A fully automated LC/MS method development and quantification protocol targeting 52 carbamates, thiocarbamates, and phenylureas. *Anal Chem* **75**, 4103-12.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3A




Figure 3B




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7A




Figure 7B




Figure 8




Figure 9

